arXiv:1403.7922v1 [cs.CR] 31 Mar 2014

On some differential properties of Boolean functions

R. Aragona[a], M. Calderini[a], D. Maccauro[b], M. Sala[a]

[a]*Department of Mathematics, University of Trento, Via Sommarive 14, 38100 Povo (Trento), Italy*
[b]*Department of Mathematics, University of Perugia, Via Luigi Vanvitelli 1, 06123 Perugia, Italy*

Abstract

We study the relation between weakly differential uniformity and other security parameters for Boolean functions. In particular, we focus on both power functions and 4-bit S-Boxes.

Keywords: Permutation, Boolean functions, Power functions, Weak uniformity.

1. Introduction

Differential and linear attacks are major cryptanalytic tools which apply to most cryptographic algorithms. Therefore, functions which guarantee a high resistance to these attacks, that means with low differential uniform and high non-linearity, have been extensively studied, e.g. APN functions or AB functions. Since in the design of block cipher an invertible S-Box of even dimension is usually needed, there is strong interest in non-linear permutations. However, there are examples of APN permutations in even dimension only for dimension 6, for more details see [3]. In [6], it was presented a new security criterion for Boolean functions: the weakly differential uniformity, which prevents attacks, based on some trapdoors, on the related block cipher. Particularly interesting is the concept of weakly-APN functions, as shown in Theorem 4.4 of [6]. Results in [6] have been generalized on any field in [1], where again the notion of weakly-APN plays an important security role.

In the first part of this paper we give some results on the weakly differential uniformity of power functions, analyzing also when it is possible to determine if the image of the derivatives of a function can fill an affine space. This property may introduce an unexpected weakness within the underlying algorithms (see for instance [5]). In the second part, we improve some results given in [8] and in particular we give a formal proof of Fact 4 in [8]. Finally we give some results about the partially bent (quadratic) components of a weakly-APN permutation and we note that in even dimension weakly-APN functions cannot be partially bent (quadratic) behaving thus as APN functions ([10, 11]).

Email addresses: ric.aragona@gmail.com (R. Aragona), marco.calderini@unitn.it (M. Calderini), daniele.maccauro@gmail.com (D. Maccauro), maxsalacodes@gmail.com (M. Sala)

2. Power functions

Let $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_2$. Let $m \geq 1$, any vectorial Boolean function (vBf) f from $\mathbb{F}^m$ to $\mathbb{F}^m$ can be expressed uniquely as a univariate polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}[x]$. When f is also invertible we call it a vBf permutation. We denote the *derivative* of f by $\hat{f}_a(x) = f(x+a) + f(x)$ and the *image* of f by $\mathrm{Im}(f) = \{f(x) \,|\, x \in \mathbb{F}^m\}$.

In this section we focus on monomial functions, also called *power functions*. In particular we prove that the weakly differential uniformity of a function f is equal to that of f^{-1}, and we show some properties of the algebraic structure of $\mathrm{Im}(\hat{f}_a)$.

A notion of non-linearity for S-Boxes that has received a lot of attention is the following.

Definition 2.1. *Let $m, n \geq 1$. Let f be a vBf from $\mathbb{F}^m$ to $\mathbb{F}^n$, for any $a \in \mathbb{F}^m$ and $b \in \mathbb{F}^n$ we define*

$$\delta_f(a,b) = |\{x \in \mathbb{F}^m \,|\, \hat{f}_a(x) = b\}|.$$

The differential uniformity *of f is*

$$\delta(f) = \max_{a \in \mathbb{F}^m} \max_{b \in \mathbb{F}^n} \delta_f(a,b).$$

f is said δ-differential uniform *if $\delta = \delta(f)$.*
Those functions such that $\delta(f) = 1$ are said perfect non-linear *(PN) and those with $\delta(f) = 2$ are said* almost perfect nonlinear (APN).

We restrict from now on to the case $m = n$, where PN functions cannot exist. Any times we write that f is a vBf, we will implicit mean $f : \mathbb{F}^m \to \mathbb{F}^m$.

There is a natural generalization of differential uniformity presented recently in [6], which we recall in the following definition.

Definition 2.2. *Let f be a vBf. f is* weakly δ-differential uniform *if*

$$|\mathrm{Im}(\hat{f}_a)| > \frac{2^{m-1}}{\delta}, \quad \forall a \in \mathbb{F}^m \setminus \{0\}.$$

If f is weakly 2*-differential uniform, it is said* weakly-APN.

As shown in [6], a δ-differentially uniform map is weakly δ-differentially uniform, and weakly δ-differential uniformity is affine-invariant.

The following result is well-known (see for instance [2]).

Proposition 2.3. *Let $f(x) = x^d$, $f \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}[x]$, then for any $a, a' \in \mathbb{F}^m$, with $a, a' \neq 0$, and $0 \leq i \leq 2^m$*

$$|\{b \in \mathbb{F}^m : \delta_f(a',b) = i\}| = |\{b \in \mathbb{F}^m : \delta_f(a,b) = i\}|.$$

In other words, when f is a monomial function the differential characteristics given by $\{\delta_f(a,b)\}_{b \in \mathbb{F}^m}$ are determined by only one nonzero value a.

Definition 2.4. *Let $f(x) = x^d$ and $0 \leq i \leq 2^m$. We denote by ω_i the number of output differences of b that occur i times, that is*

$$\omega_i(f) = |\{b \in \mathbb{F}^m \,|\, \delta_f(1,b) = i\}|.$$

The differential spectrum *of f is the set of $\omega_i(f)$'s, denoted by $\mathbf{S}(f)$.*

The following Lemma is well-known, for instance see [2].

Lemma 2.5. *Let $f(x) = x^d$ with $\gcd(2^m-1, d) = 1$. Let $g(x) = x^e$ such that $e \equiv 2^k d \mod (2^m-1)$ or $ed \equiv 1 \mod (2^m-1)$, then $\mathbf{S}(f) = \mathbf{S}(g)$.*

From Lemma 2.5 we obtain our first result.

Theorem 2.6. *Let $f(x) = x^d$ with $\gcd(2^m-1, d) = 1$. Then f is weakly δ-differential if and only if f^{-1} is weakly δ-differential.*

Proof. For a power function we have

$$|\mathrm{Im}(\hat{f}_a)| = |\mathrm{Im}(\hat{f}_1)| = 2^m - \omega_0, \quad \forall a \neq 0.$$

From Lemma 2.5 we have $\omega_0(f) = \omega_0(f^{-1})$, and that concludes the proof. □

Remark 2.7. *Suppose that f is not a power function. If f is weakly δ-differential then f^{-1} is not necessarily weakly δ-differential. We provide the following example $f : \mathbb{F}^4 \to \mathbb{F}^4$ defined by*

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) = {} & x^{14} + e^{10}x^{13} + ex^{12} + e^2x^{11} + e^9x^{10} + e^8x^9 + e^3x^8 + e^5x^7 \\ & + e^5x^6 + e^{11}x^5 + e^8x^3 + e^{10}x^2 + ex + e^{12}, \end{aligned}$$

where e is a primitive element of $\mathbb{F}_{16}$ such that $e^4 = e+1$, and the inverse of f

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}(x) = {} & x^{14} + e^{10}x^{13} + e^{14}x^{12} + e^8x^{11} + e^7x^{10} + e^{10}x^9 + x^8 + e^5x^7 + e^{14}x^6 \\ & + e^2x^5 + e^7x^4 + e^5x^3 + e^{14}x^2 + e^{11}x + e^{14}. \end{aligned}$$

We have that f is weakly-APN while f^{-1} is only weakly 4-differential uniform.

Recalling that two vBf's f and f' are called CCZ-equivalent if their graphs $G_f = \{(x, f(x)) : x \in \mathbb{F}^n\}$ and $G_{f'} = \{(x, f(x)) : x \in \mathbb{F}^n\}$ are affine equivalent. In particular f and f' are called EA-equivalent if there exist three affine functions g, g' and g'' such that $f' = g' \circ f \circ g + g''$.

Remark 2.7 and the fact that a vBf f is CCZ-equivalent to f^{-1} imply the following result.

Corollary 2.8. *The weakly differential uniformity is not CCZ invariant.*

On the other hand, weakly differential uniformity behaves well with EA invariance, as shown below.

Proposition 2.9. *The weakly differential uniformity is EA invariant.*

Proof. Let f be a vBf weakly δ-differential, and let g be a vBf such that f and g are EA equivalent. Then, there exists a vBf g' such that g' is affine equivalent to f and $g = g' + \lambda$ where λ is an affinity over $\mathbb{F}^m$.

From the fact that the weakly differential uniformity is affine invariant we have $|\mathrm{Im}(\hat{g'}_a)| > 2^{m-1}/\delta$ for all $a \in \mathbb{F}^m$. So, $\mathrm{Im}(\hat{g}_a) = \{x + \lambda(a) \,|\, x \in \mathrm{Im}(\hat{g'}_a)\}$ implies $|\mathrm{Im}(\hat{g}_a)| = |\mathrm{Im}(\hat{g'}_a)| > 2^{m-1}/\delta$ for all $a \in \mathbb{F}^m$. □

The fact that the image of a derivatives of a Boolean function is an affine space can be a weakness of the permutation. Indeed, in [5] the authors show an attack on a SHA-3 candidate (Maraca), which is especially effective when the associated Boolean function has this feature. Consider the following lemma for a power function (even not a permutation).

Lemma 2.10. *Let us consider $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ as a vector space over $\mathbb{F}$. Let $f(x) = x^d$. If there exists $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$, $a \neq 0$, such that $\mathrm{Im}(\hat{f}_a)$ is a coset of a subspace of $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$, then $\mathrm{Im}(\hat{f}_{a'})$ is a coset of subspace of $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ for all $a' \neq 0$.*

Proof. We have $\mathrm{Im}(\hat{f}_a) = w + W$ where W is a $\mathbb{F}$-vector subspace of $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ for some $w \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$. Now, let $a' \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$, $a' \neq 0$, we have

$$\hat{f}_{a'}(x) = (x+a')^d + x^d = \left(\frac{a'}{a}\right)^d \left[\left(x\frac{a}{a'} + a\right)^d + \left(x\frac{a}{a'}\right)^d\right] = \left(\frac{a'}{a}\right)^d \hat{f}_a\left(x\frac{a}{a'}\right).$$

So, we have $\mathrm{Im}(\hat{f}_{a'}) = \left(\frac{a'}{a}\right)^d \mathrm{Im}(\hat{f}_a) = \left(\frac{a'}{a}\right)^d w + \left(\frac{a'}{a}\right)^d W = w' + W'$. Since $W' = (a'/a)^d W$ is again an $\mathbb{F}$-vector subspace of $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$, our claim is proved. □

Here we give a sufficient condition for a power function to thwart the aforementioned weakness.

Theorem 2.11. *Let $f(x) = x^d$ be weakly 2^t-differential uniform, but not weakly 2^{t-1}-differential uniform and not 2^t-differential uniform. Then for all $a \neq 0 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$, $\mathrm{Im}(\hat{f}_a)$ is not a coset of a subspace $W \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$.*

Proof. From the weakly 2^t-differential uniformity there exists $a \neq 0$ such that

$$2^{m-t} \geq |\mathrm{Im}(\hat{f}_a)| > 2^{m-t-1},$$

but $|\mathrm{Im}(\hat{f}_a)|$ cannot be equal to 2^{m-t}, otherwise from Proposition 2.10 we would have that $\hat{f}_a$ is an 2^t-to-1 map for all a, i.e. f is 2^t-differential uniform contradicting our hypothesis. Therefore, $2^{m-t} > |\mathrm{Im}(\hat{f}_a)| > 2^{m-t-1}$ implies that the image of $\hat{f}_a$ cannot be an affine space, but then thanks to Lemma 2.10 $\mathrm{Im}(\hat{f}_{a'})$ cannot be an affine space for any nonzero $a' \in \mathbb{F}^m$ □

In case $t = 1$ we have a more general result holding also for vBf's which are not power functions.

Theorem 2.12. *Let f be a vBf on $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ that is weakly-APN but not APN. Then, there exists $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ nonzero such that $\mathrm{Im}(\hat{f}_a)$ is not a coset of a subspace $W \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$.*

Proof. By contradiction suppose that for all $a \neq 0$ we have $\mathrm{Im}(\hat{f}_a) = w + W$ for some $w \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ and W vector space. Since f is weakly-APN, $|\mathrm{Im}(\hat{f}_a)| > 2^{m-2}$, thus $\dim_{\mathbb{F}}(W) = m-1$. Therefore, we have that $\hat{f}_a$ is a 2-to-1 function for all $a \neq 0$, which means f is APN, and this contradicts our hypothesis. In other words, there exists a such that $\mathrm{Im}(\hat{f}_a)$ is not a coset. □

Clearly for power functions we can strengthen the previous theorem.

Corollary 2.13. *Let f be a vBf permutation on $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ that is weakly-APN but not APN. If $f(x) = x^d$, then for all $a \neq 0 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$, $\mathrm{Im}(\hat{f}_a)$ is not a coset of a subspace $W \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$.*

3. Some conditions for weakly-APNness

Without loss of generality, in the sequel we consider only vBf's such that $f(0) = 0$. Let $v \in \mathbb{F}^m \setminus \{0\}$, we denote by $< f, v >$ the component $\sum_{i=1}^{m} v_i f_i$ of f, where $f_1, \ldots, f_m$ are the coordinate functions of f.

We recall the following non-linearity measures, as introduced in [8]:

$$n_i(f) := |\{v \in \mathbb{F}^m \setminus \{0\} : \deg(< f, v >) = i\}|,$$

and

$$\hat{n}(f) := \max_{a \in \mathbb{F}^m \setminus \{0\}} |\{v \in \mathbb{F}^m \setminus \{0\} : \deg(< \hat{f}_a, v >) = 0\}|.$$

We extend some results of [8] in the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *Let f be a vBf permutation such that $\hat{n}(f) = 0$. Then*
(i) if $m = 3$ then f is weakly-APN;
(ii) if $m = 4$ then f is weakly-APN;
(iii) if $m = 6$ f is not necessarily weakly-APN.

Proof. (i) Let $\mathbb{F}^3 = \{x_1, \ldots, x_8\}$ and let M_a be the matrix of dimension 3×8, whose columns are $m_j = \hat{f}_a(x_j)$ for $1 \leq j \leq 8$. We claim that $\hat{n}(f) = 0$ implies $\mathrm{rank}(M_a) = 3$ for all a. Otherwise, we could obtain $(0, \ldots, 0) \in \mathbb{F}^3$ from a combination of the rows of M_a. If f is not weakly-APN, we have $|\mathrm{Im}(\hat{f}_a)| \leq 2$ for some $a \in \mathbb{F}_2^3 \setminus \{0\}$. So we have at most 2 distinct columns that means $\mathrm{rank}(M_a) \leq 2$.

(ii) See [8] Proposition 2 .

(iii) For $m = 6$, let $f : \mathbb{F}^6 \to \mathbb{F}^6$ be defined by $f(x) = x^{13}$, then f has $\hat{n}(f) = 0$ and it is only weakly 4-differential uniform. □

In [8] it was shown that a weakly-APN f function over $\mathbb{F}^4$ has $n_3(f) \in \{12, 14, 15\}$, moreover by a computer check on the class representatives the authors exclude the case $n_3(f) = 12$ (Fact 4 in [8]).
We are now able to provide a formal proof.

Proposition 3.2 (Fact 4 in [8]). *Let $f : \mathbb{F}^4 \to \mathbb{F}^4$ be a weakly-APN permutation. Then $n_3(f) \in \{14, 15\}$.*

Proof. Let $f = (f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4)$ with $f_i : \mathbb{F}^4 \to \mathbb{F}$, and assume by contradiction that $\deg(S) \leq 2$ for three distinct linear combinations $S = \sum_i v_i f_i$.

From the theory of quadratic Boolean functions (see for instance [4]) $\hat{S}_a$ is constant for every $a \in V(S)$ where $V(S) \subseteq \mathbb{F}^4$, i.e. the set of linear structures of S, is a vector subspace of dimension 0 if and only if S is bent, 4 if and only if S is linear (affine), and 2 otherwise. Denoting with $S_1, S_2, S_3 = S_1 + S_2$ the three components, since f is a permutation we have that S_i is balanced, so S_i is not bent for any i. If there exists $a \in V(S_i) \cap V(S_j)$ different from 0 for some i and j, then $\hat{n}(f) \geq 2$. But f weakly-APN implies $\hat{n}(f) \leq 1$ (see [8] Theorem 1). So, we obtain that $\deg(S_i) = 2$ and $V(S_i) \cap V(S_j) = \{0\}$, with $\dim(V(S_i)) = 2$, for all i, j. Without loss of generality, since $V(S_1) \oplus V(S_2) = \mathbb{F}^4$, we can assume
$V(S_1) = \langle (1,0,0,0), (0,1,0,0) \rangle$ and $V(S_2) = \langle (0,0,1,0), (0,0,0,1) \rangle$.

Let $S_1(x) = \sum_{i<j} c_{i,j} x_i x_j + \sum_i c_i x_i$. Since $S_1(x + (1,0,0,0)) + S_1(x)$ is constant we have that $c_{i,j} = 0$ if i or j equals 1. Similarly, since $S_1(x + (0,1,0,0)) + S_1(x)$ is constant we have $c_{i,j} = 0$ if i or j equals 2. Then $S_1(x) = x_3 x_4 + \sum_i c_i x_i$ and analogously we have $S_2(x) = x_1 x_2 + \sum_i c'_i x_i$, for some c'_i's.

So, $S_3(x) = x_1 x_2 + x_3 x_4 + \sum_i b_i x_i$, $b_i = c_i + c'_i$, and we can compute the derivate of S_3 with respect to $a \in \mathbb{F}^4$ as

$$(\hat{S_3})_a(x) = a_2 x_1 + a_1 x_2 + a_4 x_3 + a_3 x_4 + c, \text{ where } c \text{ is constant.}$$

$(\hat{S_3})_a(x)$ is constant if and only if $a = 0$, that implies S_3 is bent. This contradicts the fact that f is a permutation and each component is balanced. □

As was shown in [11] there is no APN quadratic permutation over $\mathbb{F}^m$ for m even. This result was extended by Nyberg [10] to the case of permutations with partially bent components (for m even). We are able to extend these results to the case of weakly-APN permutations defined over $\mathbb{F}^m$ with m even.

Definition 3.3 ([7]). *A vBf f is partially bent if there exists a linear subspace $V(f)$ of $\mathbb{F}^m$ such that the restriction of f to $V(f)$ is affine and the restriction of f to any complementary subspace U of $V(f)$, $V(f) \oplus U = \mathbb{F}^m$, is bent. In that case, f can be represented as a direct sum of the restricted functions, i.e., $f(y+z) = f(y) + f(z)$, for all $z \in V(f)$ and $y \in U$.*

Remark 3.4. *The space $V(f)$ is formed by the linear structures of f, in fact*

$$f(x+a) + f(x) = f(y+z+a) + f(y+z) = f(y) + f(z) + f(a) + f(y) + f(z) = f(a)$$

where $z, a \in V(f)$ and $y \in U$. Moreover, since bent function exist only in even dimension, $m - dim(V(f))$ is even. That means if m is even, the dimension of $V(f)$ is even.

Theorem 3.5. *For m even, a weakly-APN permutation has at most* $\frac{2^m-1}{3}$ *partially bent components. In particular* f *cannot have all partially bent components.*

Proof. Let f be a weakly-APN permutation. Assume by contradiction that f has more than $\frac{2^m-1}{3}$ partially bent components, and denote those with $f_1,\ldots,f_s$. f is a permutation, then $\dim(V(f_i)) \neq 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq s$, otherwise f_i is bent and it is not balanced. From Remark 3.4 we have that there exist at least three nonzero vectors in each $V(f_i)$. So

$$\sum_{i=1}^{s} |V(f_i)| \geq 3s > 2^m - 1.$$

Thus, there exist i and j such that $a \in V(f_i) \cap V(f_j)$ with $a \neq 0$. This implies $\hat{n}(f) \geq 2$, which contradicts that f is weakly-APN, since in that case $\hat{n}(f) \leq 1$ ([8] Theorem 1). □

From the fact that a quadratic Boolean function is partially bent (see for instance [10]), we have immediately the following result.

Corollary 3.6. *There exists no weakly-APN quadratic permutation over* $\mathbb{F}^m$*, for m even.*

Corollary 3.7. *Let m even. Let* f *be a weakly-APN permutation. Then* f *has at most* $2^{m-2}-1$ *quadratic components.*

Proof. That depends on the fact that the set of components of degree less or equal to 2 is a vector space. □

In this last part of the paper we give some properties linked to the value of $\hat{n}(f)$ of a vBf. For all $a \in \mathbb{F}^m \setminus \{0\}$, let V_a be the vector space $\{v \in \mathbb{F}^m \setminus \{0\} : \deg(<\hat{f}_a, v>) = 0\} \cup \{0\}$. By definition, if $t = \max_{a \in \mathbb{F}^m \setminus \{0\}} \dim(V_a)$, then $\hat{n}(f) = 2^t - 1$.

Proposition 3.8. *Let* f *be a vBf and* $a \in \mathbb{F}^m \setminus \{0\}$. $f(a) + V_a^{\perp}$ *is the smallest affine subspace of* $\mathbb{F}^m$ *containing* $\mathrm{Im}(\hat{f}_a)$*. In particular,* $\hat{n}(f) = 0$ *if and only if there does not exist a proper affine subspace of* $\mathbb{F}^m$ *containing* $\mathrm{Im}(\hat{f}_a)$*, for all* $a \in \mathbb{F}^m \setminus \{0\}$.

Proof. Let $a \in \mathbb{F}^m \setminus \{0\}$. Note that $V_a = \{v \in \mathbb{F}^m : <\hat{f}_a, v> \text{ is constant}\}$. Let $x \in \mathbb{F}^m$, then $\hat{f}_a(x) = f(a) + w$, for some $w \in \mathbb{F}^m$, and $<\hat{f}_a(x), v> = c \in \mathbb{F}$ for all $v \in V_a$. In particular $c = <\hat{f}_a(0), v> = <f(a), v>$ and so $<w, v> = 0$, that is, $w \in V_a^{\perp}$. Then we have $\mathrm{Im}(\hat{f}_a) \subseteq f(a) + V_a^{\perp}$. Now, let A be an affine subspace containing $\mathrm{Im}(\hat{f}_a)$, then $A = f(a) + V$, for some vector subspace V in $\mathbb{F}^m$. For all $v \in V^{\perp}$, we have $<\hat{f}_a, v> = <f(a), v> = c \in \mathbb{F}$ and so, by definition, $V^{\perp} \subseteq V_a$. Then A contains $f(a) + V_a^{\perp}$.

Finally, $\hat{n}(f) = 0$ if and only if $V_a = \{0\}$ for all $a \in \mathbb{F}^m \setminus \{0\}$, and so our claim follows. □

Proposition 3.9. *Let* $f : \mathbb{F}^m \to \mathbb{F}^m$ *be a Boolean permutation such that* $\hat{n}(f) = 0$*. Then* f *has no partially bent (quadratic) components.*

Proof. $\hat{n}(f) = 0$ implies that the linear structures set of any component contains only 0. So if there exists a partially bent (quadratic) component, then it is bent. But f is a permutation, then this is not possible. □

For the particular case of 4-bit S-Boxes we obtain these two more results.

Corollary 3.10. *Let $f : \mathbb{F}^4 \to \mathbb{F}^4$ be a vBf permutation.*
(i) If $\hat{n}(f) = 0$. Then f is weakly-APN and $n_3(f) = 15$.
(ii) If f is weakly APN and $n_3(f) = 14$. Then $\hat{n}(f) = 1$.

Proof. Let f be weakly-APN, so $\hat{n}(f) \leq 1$ (see [8]). From Proposition 3.9, the thesis follows. □

So for weakly-APN function for $m = 4$ we have all the three cases (see Table 1.1 in [9]):

- $\hat{n}(f) = 0$ and $n_3(f) = 15$.
- $\hat{n}(f) = 1$ and $n_3(f) = 15$.
- $\hat{n}(f) = 1$ and $n_3(f) = 14$.

4. Acknowledgements

The second and third authors would like to thank their supervisor, the last author. For his discussions and comments, the authors would like to thank Massimo Giulietti.

References

[1] R. Aragona, A. Caranti, F. Dalla Volta, M. Sala, On the group generated by the round functions of translation based ciphers over arbitrary finite fields, Finite Fields and Appl. 25 (2014) 293–305.

[2] C. Blondeau, A. Canteaut, and P. Charpin. Differential properties of power functions, International Journal of Information and Coding Theory 1 (2) (2010) 149–170.

[3] K. Browning, J. Dillon, M. McQuistan, A. Wolfe, An APN permutation in dimension six, in Finite Fields: Theory and Applications - FQ9. Providence, RI: AMS, 2010, vol. 518, Contemporary Mathematics, pp. 33-42.

[4] A. Canteaut, P. Charpin, G. M. Kyureghyan, A new class of monomial bent functions, Finite Fields and Appl. 14 (1) (2008) 221–241.

[5] A. Canteaut and M. Naya-Plasencia, Structural weakness of permutations with a low differential uniformity and generalized crooked functions, Finite Fields: Theory and Applications-Selected Papers from the 9th International Conference Finite Fields and Applications, Contemporary Mathematics, 518 (2009).

[6] A. Caranti, F. Dalla Volta, and M. Sala, On some block ciphers and imprimitive groups, Appl. Algebra Engrg. Comm. Comput. 20 (5-6) (2009) 339–350.

[7] C. Carlet, Partially-bent functions, Advances in Cryptology - CRYPTO'92, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Springer-Verlag, 1993.

[8] C. Fontanari, V. Pulice, A. Rimoldi, M. Sala, On weakly APN function and 4-bit S-boxes, Finite Fields and Appl. 18 (2012) 522–528.

[9] C. Fontanari, V. Pulice, A. Rimoldi, M. Sala: On weakly APN function and 4-bit S-boxes, preprint: http://arxiv.org/pdf/1102.3882v2.pdf.

[10] K. Nyberg, S-boxes and Round Functions with Controllable Linearity and Differential Uniformity, In Fast Software Encryption 1994, Lecture Notes in Computer Science 1008, pp. 111–130, 1995.

[11] J. Seberry, X. Zhang, and Y. Zheng. Pitfalls in designing substitution boxes, In Advances in Cryptology - CRYPTO '94, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol, 839, pp. 383–396. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1994.